UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,300	06/21/2007	Eric Thor Fossel	S1509.70037US01	7179
	7590 03/27/200 IFIELD & SACKS, P.O	EXAMINER		
600 ATLANTIC	C AVENUE	TREYGER, ILYA Y		
BOSTON, MA	02210-2200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/590,300	FOSSEL, ERIC THOR		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	ILYA Y. TREYGER	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causo			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		•				
<ol> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been conside because:</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Michele Kidwell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761	/Ilya Y Treyger/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761					
	.,					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Fossel does not anticipate the claimed invention because nowhere the reference of Fossel does specifically teach applying the invention to the Brest.

However, invention of Fossel is disclosed for applying to the selected area of the skin. The fact that the human Brest is covered by the skin is the matter of the Common Knowledge and the number of anatomical sources can be broth as evidence (i.e. anatomical atlases) by the Applicant's request.

Applicant further argues that Fossel does not teach that the breast is a selected area of the skin.

However, since the human breast is not the whole human body but the part of it, the skin covering the human breast is a skin covering a part of body that can be selected if required. Therefore the skin covering the human breast can be interpreted as a selected area of the skin.